

Purpose:

To evaluate the impact of preoperative intraocular pressure (IOP) on the efficacy and safety of Trabectome® surgery in open angle glaucoma patients.

Setting:

Multicenter clinical study involving 53 academic and private ophthalmology practices to adopt Trabectome surgery.

Methods:

This is a retrospective study of consecutive Trabectome surgery cases with 6 months follow-up. All cases performed by participating surgeons were included. The eyes were stratified into four groups on preoperative IOP. Baseline demographic and medical data were collected. Main outcome measures were intraocular pressure (IOP), number of glaucoma medications, and the occurrence of complications or secondary procedures.





1.1.7mm incision



Identify angle view and begin ablation









5. Complete ablation 60-120 degree arc

Trabectome System:



Technique Advantages

- Footplate acts as guide within Schlemm's
- inflammatory stimuli
- & mechanical injury
- Minimally Invasive & low complication rate
- No conjunctival manipulation & does not preclude subsequent standard filtering surgery

Impact of Preoperative Intraocular Pressure on Trabectome Outcomes 1) Alcon (research support) 2) Allergan (research support, speaker's bureau) 3) Ista Pharmaceuticals (research support, previously on advisory board) Steven D. Vold, MD¹, and the Trabectome Study Group* 4) NeoMedix (speaker)

1. Boozman-Hof Eye Clinic, Rogers, AR



6. Irrigate and aspirate with Simco. suture

Continual infusion deepens & maintains anterior chamber AC viscoelastic optional – can deepen & stabilize AC Ablation & aspiration of TM debris should reduce

Ceramic coated footplate & infusion protect from thermal

In theory re-establishes/enhances physiological drainage









Table 1: Demographic Data in T and T+P Surgery Types Within GROUPS 1, 2, 3, 4								, 4	Table 3: Complications & 2nd Surgeries In T and T+P Surgery Types Within Groups 1, 2,3 4							2,3 4		
	Gr	oup 1	Gro	2 guo	Gro	2 au	Grou	JD 4			Group T	1 т _т р	Grou T	ир 2 т_р	Gro T	ир 3 т_р	Grou T	ир 4 т+р
	Т	T+P	Т	T+P	Т	T+P		T+P	Total # case	25	104	189	242	186	293	86	258	43
Number(n)	104	189	242	186	293	86	258	43	Sec. Surger	ies								
Age	69 <u>+</u> 15	75 <u>+</u> 10	70 <u>+</u> 15	73 <u>+</u> 10	66 <u>+</u> 16	75 <u>+</u> 10	64 <u>+</u> 18	69 <u>+</u> 14	Trabec	ulectomy post Trabectome	5	1	19	4	39	2	39	6
Gender									Shunti	post Trabectome	1	0	10	1	20	2	18	2
Males	50	71	91	74	115	28	137	16	DCP		1	0	5	0	0	0	1	0
Females	54	113	143	110	166	57	118	25	ECP po	ost Trabectome	0	1	1	0	2	0	3	0
NR	0	5	8	2	12	1	3	2	T post	Trabectome [#]	0	0	2	0	6	0		0
Race									Total S	econdary Surgeries	7	2	37	5	67	4	66	8
African-American	3	12	12	8	13	4	19	1	%		(7%)	(1%)	(15%)	(3%)	(23%)	(5%)	(25%)	(19%
Asian	7	31	29	30	32	15	33	8	Patient	ts death	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caucasian	79	113	164	128	182	56	143	23	(not re	lated to Trabectome)				-		-		
Hispanic	0	7	12	4	30	0	31	7	Eyes ou	ut of study (Patient moved)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other/NR	15	26	25	16	36	11	32	<u>,</u> Δ	Complicatio	ons:								
Diagnosis	15	20	25	10	50	11	52		Sustair 1 mont	ned Hypotony (IOP<5 mmH th post op	^{g)} 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POAG&COAG	85	145	195	139	226	58	149	15	Hypoto	ony (IOP<5mmHg) 1 day po	st op 3	3	2	3	4	0	5	0
PEX	7	18	17	25	13	9	41	8	IOP-1D	>10 mmHg from pre op IO	P 7	20	15	17	13	7	10	2
JRA	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	Aqueo	us Misdirection	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Myopic Degeneration	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	Infectio	on	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steroid Induced	0	1	2	1	2	0	9	1	Bleb Fo	ormation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pigmentary Glaucoma	3	3	9	5	10	4	12	3	Wound	d Leaks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uveitic	2	0	0	2	5	2	17	3	Proble	matic Pain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other/NR	5	22	19	14	32	13	28	13	Choroi	dal Effusion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pre-op Snellen Activity									Choroi	dal Hemorrhage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20/20-20/40	59	62	143	62	165	26	123	9	Visual	Acquity Decrease(>2 lines)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20/50-20/70	13	51	27	46	31	19	28	12	Intra Opera	ative Blood Reflux:								
20/80-20/100	4	22	6	14	12	8	11	6	Yes		80	154	199	160	238	66	199	32
20/200-20/400	7	17	8	13	20	12	25	7	No		3	5	8	4	15	4	7	1
>20/400	5	5	9	6	6	4	15	0	Not re	ported	21	30	35	22	40	16	52	10
Not recorded	16	32	49	45	59	17	56	9	DCP is Diode Cyclo Photo Coagulation									
Lens Status									T post Trab	ectome is repeat Trabecto	me that happ	ens on J	patient r	equest				
Pseudo-Phakic	50	0	125	0	137	0	100	0										
Phakic	45	189	98	186	126	86	135	43										
Anhakic	0	0	3	0	1	0	3	0										
Not Recorded	9	0	16	0	- 29	0	20	0	Table 4 M	Aedication Usage In Tra	bectome () Only	And In	Combi	ned Ca	ses (T+	P) In Ea	ach Of
Shaffer Grade		0	10	0	25	0	20	0			The	4 Grou	os				<i>,</i>	
	2	1	2	Δ	4	0	3	1	Group#	Surgery Type Pre-op	Rx	6	Month R	X	a	-Rx		
 	<u>د</u>	 17	10	20	17	7	10	-	Group 1	T 2.83 <u>+</u>	1.16	2	.26 <u>+</u> 1.29)	F	0.001		
	ך גר	67	10	52	±/ Q1	י זי	76	12		T+P 2.52+	1.09	1	.45+1.22			<0.001		
	20 //E	E2	111	71	176	20	106	11		0.022	+-		0.001#					
IV Not Decorded	45	55		20	120	2/	100	12		0.023		<	0.001"					
NOT RECORDED	26	51	51	38	62	24	54	12	Group 2	T 2 76+	1 2 2	2	20+1 26			<0.001		

Group #	Surgery Type	Pre-op IOP	6 Month IOP	% Change	p-IOP
Group 1	Т	15.3 <u>+</u> 1.7	14.2 <u>+</u> 2.6	-6%	<0.001
	T+P	14.6+2.0	13.5+2.8	-7%	<0.001
		0.003#	0.04#		
Group 2	Т	20.1 <u>+</u> 1.3	16.4 <u>+</u> 3.3	-18%	<0.001
	T+P	19.6 <u>+</u> 1.4	15.2 <u>+</u> 2.9	-22%	<0.001
		<0.001#	<0.001#		
Group 3	Т	25.7 <u>+</u> 1.9	17.5 <u>+</u> 4.5	-31%	<0.001
	T+P	25.4 <u>+</u> 1.8	16.2 <u>+</u> 3.4	-36%	<0.001
		0.19#	0.018#		
Group 4	Т	35.6 <u>+</u> 5.7	17.9 <u>+</u> 5.4	-48%	<0.001
	T+P	34.0 <u>+</u> 4.2	17.8 <u>+</u> 4.4	-47%	<0.001
		0.079#	0.91#		

A total of 1401 eyes were included in the study. In GROUP 1 eyes with preoperative IOPs of \leq 17 mmHg, mean reductions in IOP and glaucoma medication 6 months after surgery were 7% and 35% respectively. In GROUP 2 eyes with preoperative IOPs of 18 to 22 mmHg, these mean reductions were 20% and 28%. In GROUP 3 eyes with IOPs of 23 to 29 mmHg, mean reductions measured 33% and 28%. In GROUP 4 eyes with IOPs of \geq 30 mmHg, mean reductions were 48% and 25%. The number of complications and secondary surgeries were proportional to the pre-op IOP levels.

Preoperative IOP appears to influence Trabectome surgery outcomes in regards to lowering of IOP and number of glaucoma medications.

Jacksonville, FL; P Sidoti, New York Eye and Ear, New York, NY; CJ Siegfried, Washington University, St Louis, MO; A Sit, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; M Stiles, Stiles Eye Care Excellence, Kansas City, KS; R Tamesis, Loma Linda Hospital, Loma Linda, CA; T Tanji, Kapio Lani Hospital, Honolulu, HI; J Trible, Wolfe Eye Clinic, Des Moines, IA; SD Vold, Boozman Hof Regional Eye Clinic, Rogers, AR; M Watanabe, Chukyo Eye Clinic, Nagoya, Japan; R Weinreb, Shiley Eye Institute, UCSD, La Jolla, CA; PT Zacharia, St. Vincent Hospital, Worcester, MA.

Author received honoraria from NeoMedix for Trabectome training and support from the following companies:

5) iScience Interventional (speaker) 6) Glaukos Corporation (research support, consultant)

7) Transcend Medical (research support)

8) TrueVision Systems, Inc (consultant)

Results Contd.:

Table 4 N	Aedication Usa န	ge In Trabectom T	ie (T) Only And In Combii The 4 Groups	ned Cases (T+P) In Each (
Group#	Surgery Type	Pre-op Rx	6 Month Rx	p-Rx
Group 1	Т	2.83 <u>+</u> 1.16	2.26 <u>+</u> 1.29	0.001
	T+P	2.52 <u>+</u> 1.09	1.45 <u>+</u> 1.22	<0.001
		0.023#	<0.001#	
Group 2	т	2.76 <u>+</u> 1.28	2.20 <u>+</u> 1.26	<0.001
	T+P	2.53 <u>+</u> 1.06	1.60 <u>+</u> 1.32	<0.001
		0.048#	<0.001#	
Group 3	т	2.91 <u>+</u> 1.44	2.11 <u>+</u> 1.41	<0.001
	T+P	2.49 <u>+</u> 1.19	1.79 <u>+</u> 1.29	<0.001
		0.014#	0.07#	
Group 4	т	3.11 <u>+</u> 1.30	2.32 <u>+</u> 1.49	<0.001
	T+P	3.07 <u>+</u> 0.91	2.43 <u>+</u> 1.17	0.008
		0.846#	0.68#	

p-IOP is p value from t test for comparing 6 month IOP to pre-op IOP within each surgery gro notes p value from t test for comparing various characteristics between surgery groups.

Results:

Conclusions:

*Trabectome Study Group

Trabectome Study Group: D Apte, Kaiser Permanente, Santa Clara, CA; RE Bandel, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL; EM Barnett, Washington University, St Louis, MO; C Batiste, Spectra Surgery Center, Glendale, AZ; D Budenz, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, Miami, FL; T Chen, Mass Eye and Ear Infirmary-Harvard Med School, Boston, MA; RL Chevrier, Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa Canada; J Compagna, Alamo Heights Surgical Center, Roanoke, VA; K Damji, Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa Canada; N Donas, Community Hospital, Dobbs Ferry, NY; R Fellman, Glaucoma Associates of Texas, Dallas, TX; D Friedman, Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD; Z Ghiasi, Kaiser Permanente, Fontana CA; C Girkin, Calahan Eye Foundation, Birmingham, AL; D Godfrey, Glaucoma Associates of Texas, Dallas, TX; A Jamil, Northwest Glaucoma Consultants; M Johnstone, Northwest Glaucoma Consultants; LS Jones, Howard University, Washington, DC; YH Kwon, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA; D Laroche, New York, NY; M Leen, Silverdale Surgical Center, Silverdale, WA; M Maeda, Chukyo Eye Clinic, Nagoya, Japan; BB Mahan, Harton Medical Hospital, TN; D Marshall, Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa Canada; R Mills, Northwest Glaucoma Consultants, Seattle, WA; K Mitchell, Palmetto Hospital, Columbia, SC; Q Nguyen, Scripps Health Clinic, La Jolla, CA; G Osmundson, Sioux Falls Surgical Center, Sioux Falls, SD; C Patitsas, JC Blair Hospital, Huntingdon, PA; M Ramirez, Codet Aris, Tijuana, Mexico; G Reiss, Spectra Surgery Center, Glendale, AZ; D Rhee, Mass Eye and Ear Infirmary–Harvard Med School, Boston, MA; R Rosenquist, Kaiser Permanente, Fontana CA; J Schuman, Univ. of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA; R Shetty, Mayo Clinic,